

VERTEX ALGEBROIDS II

PAUL BRESSLER

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we determine the obstruction to triviality of the stack of exact vertex algebroids thereby recovering the result of [GMS].

The stack $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ of exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is a torsor under the stack in Picard groupoids $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ of exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. The latter is equivalent to the stack of torsors under $\Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega^{3,cl}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors are classified by $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega^{3,cl})$. The goal of the present note is to determine the class of $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

The first step toward this goal is to replace $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by the equivalent $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsor $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle, \rangle}$, whose (locally defined) objects are certain Courant algebroids which are extensions by Ω_X^1 of the Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}$, the Atiyah algebra of the sheaf Ω_X^1 . Any such extension induces an $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}$ -invariant symmetric pairing $\langle, \rangle$ on the Lie algebra $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1)$. The objects of $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle, \rangle}$ are those for which $\langle, \rangle$ is given by the *negative* of the trace of the product of endomorphisms.

We show that $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle, \rangle}$ are anti-equivalent as $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors by adapting the strategy of [BD] to the present setting and making use of (the degree zero part of) the unique vertex $\Omega_X^\bullet$ -algebroid constructed in [B]. It follows that the classes of $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle, \rangle}$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega^{3,cl})$ are negatives of each other. The advantage of passing to $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\text{Tr}}$ has to do with the fact that Courant algebroids are objects of “classical” nature. In particular they are $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules (as opposed to vertex algebroids).

The second step is the determination of the class of $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle, \rangle}$ which is achieved a the more general framework. Namely, we consider a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ algebroid $\mathcal{A}$, locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$, and denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the kernel of the anchor map. Thus, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a sheaf of Lie algebras in $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. If $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant algebroid, such that the associated Lie algebroid is identified with $\mathcal{A}$, then $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{A}$ by Ω_X^1 . The symmetric bilinear form on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ induces an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ as above, and $\langle, \rangle$ an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle, \rangle}$ denote the stack of whose (locally defined) objects are pairs, consisting of a Courant algebroid $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ together with an identification of the associated Lie algebroid with $\mathcal{A}$, such that the symmetric bilinear form induced on $\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with $\langle, \rangle$. We show that, if $\mathcal{A}$ admits a flat connection locally on X , then $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle, \rangle}$ has a natural structure of an $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsor and calculate its characteristic class in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega^{3,cl})$. It turns out that

Date: February 8, 2008.

it is equal to the Pontryagin class naturally associated to the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. For example, the Pontryagin class of $(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (where the symmetric pairing is given by the negative of the trace of the product of endomorphisms) is equal to $-2 \operatorname{ch}(\Omega_X^1)$. Hence, the class of $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ is equal to $2 \operatorname{ch}(\Omega_X^1)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic material on Courant algebroids. In Section 3 we study Courant extensions of transitive Lie algebroids and classification thereof. In Section 4 we recall the basic material on vertex algebroids and their relationship to Courant algebroids. We explain how the construction of [B] gives an example of a vertex extension (of the Atiyah algebra of the cotangent bundle) and use this example to classify exact vertex algebroids.

The fact that the Pontryagin class of a principal bundle (defined with respect to an invariant symmetric pairing on the Lie algebra of the structure group) is the obstruction to the existence of a Courant extension of the Atiyah algebra of the principal bundle was pointed out by P. Ševera in [S] together with the constructions of 3.5.

2. COURANT ALGEBROIDS

2.1. Courant algebroids. A *Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid* is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{Q}$ equipped with

(1) a structure of a Leibniz $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q},$$

(2) an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map of Leibniz algebras (the anchor map)

$$\pi : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X,$$

(3) a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

(4) a derivation

$$\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$$

such that $\pi \circ \partial = 0$

which satisfy

$$[q_1, f q_2] = f[q_1, q_2] + \pi(q_1)(f)q_2 \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$\langle [q, q_1], q_2 \rangle + \langle q_1, [q, q_2] \rangle = \pi(q)(\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle) \quad (2.1.2)$$

$$[q, \partial(f)] = \partial(\pi(q)(f)) \quad (2.1.3)$$

$$\langle q, \partial(f) \rangle = \pi(q)(f) \quad (2.1.4)$$

$$[q_1, q_2] + [q_2, q_1] = \partial(\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle) \quad (2.1.5)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $q, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$.

2.1.1. A morphism of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map of Leibnitz algebras which commutes with the respective anchor maps and derivations and preserves the respective pairings.

2.2. The associated Lie algebroid. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_X \partial(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{Q}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{Q}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{Q}/\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the symmetrization of the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{Q}$ takes values in $\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

For $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$\begin{aligned}[q, f\partial(g)] &= f[q, \partial(g)] + \pi(q)(f)\partial(g) \\ &= f\partial(\pi(q)(g)) + \pi(q)(f)\partial(g)\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $[\mathcal{Q}, \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}] \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Therefore, the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{Q}$ descends to the Lie bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Q}}. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Since π is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear and $\pi \circ \partial = 0$, π vanishes on $\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and factors through the map

$$\pi : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X. \quad (2.2.2)$$

2.2.1. Lemma. The bracket (2.2.1) and the anchor (2.2.2) determine the structure of a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$.

2.3. Transitive Courant algebroids. A Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is called *transitive* if the anchor is surjective.

2.3.1. Remark. A Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is transitive if and only if the associated Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is.

2.3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a transitive Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. The derivation ∂ induces the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}.$$

Since $\langle q, \alpha \rangle = \iota_{\pi(q)}\alpha$, it follows that the map i is adjoint to the anchor map π . The surjectivity of the latter implies that i is injective. Since, in addition, $\pi \circ i = 0$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.3.1)$$

is exact. Moreover, i is isotropic with respect to the symmetric pairing.

2.3.3. Definition. A *connection* on a transitive Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear isotropic section of the anchor map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$.

2.3.4. Definition. A *flat connection* on a transitive Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear section of the anchor map which is morphism of Leibniz algebras.

2.3.5. Remark. As a consequence of (2.1.5) a flat connection is a connection.

2.4. Exact Courant algebroids.

2.4.1. *Definition.* The Courant algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is called *exact* if the anchor map $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is an isomorphism.

We denote the stack of exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids by $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

2.4.2. A morphism of exact Courant algebroids induces a morphism of respective extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by Ω_X^1 , hence is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. It is clear that the inverse isomorphism is a morphism of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. Therefore, $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is a stack in groupoids.

2.4.3. The evident morphism $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$ is faithful. The natural structure of a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space in categories on $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$ restricts to one on $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. In particular, $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is a stack in Picard groupoids.

2.4.4. *Connections.* Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$ denote the sheaf of (locally defined) connections on $\mathcal{Q}$.

2.4.5. *Lemma.* $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$ is an Ω_X^2 -torsor.

Proof. The difference of two sections of the anchor map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is a map $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ or, equivalently, a section of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$. The difference of two isotropic sections gives rise to a skew-symmetric tensor, i.e. a section of Ω_X^2 . $\square$

2.4.6. *Curvature.* For a (locally defined) connection ∇ the formula

$$(\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto \iota_\xi([\nabla(\xi_1), \nabla(\xi_2)] - \nabla([\xi_1, \xi_2]))$$

defines a section, denoted $c(\nabla)$, of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$ called *the curvature* of the connection ∇ .

A connection ∇ is called *flat* if $c(\nabla) = 0$.

2.4.7. *Lemma.*

- (1) The tensor $c(\nabla)$ is skew-symmetric, i.e. a section of Ω_X^3 .
- (2) The differential form $c(\nabla)$ is closed.
- (3) For $\alpha \in \Omega_X^2$, $c(\nabla + \alpha) = c(\nabla) + d\alpha$.

2.4.8. *Exact Courant algebroids with connection.* Pairs $(\mathcal{Q}, \nabla)$, where $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{Q}$ give rise in the obvious way to a stack in Picard groupoids which we denote $\mathcal{ECA}\nabla_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

The “zero” object in $\mathcal{ECA}\nabla_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is “the Courant algebroid”, $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_X$ with the obvious connection, the symmetric pairing given by the duality pairing between Ω_X^1 and $\mathcal{T}_X$, and the bracket characterized by the fact the connection is flat.

Note that the pair $(\mathcal{Q}, \nabla)$ has no non-trivial automorphisms. The assignment $(\mathcal{Q}, \nabla) \mapsto c(\nabla)$ gives rise to the morphism of Picard groupoids

$$c : \mathcal{ECA}\nabla_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl}, \quad (2.4.1)$$

where $\Omega_X^{3,cl}$ is viewed as discrete, i.e. the only morphisms are the identity maps.

2.4.9. *Lemma.* The morphism (2.4.1) is an equivalence.

Proof. The inverse is given by the following construction. Let $[\ , \]_0$ denote the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{Q}_0$. For $H \in \Omega_X^{3,cl}$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{T}_X$ let

$$[\xi_1, \xi_2]_H = [\xi_1, \xi_2]_0 + \iota_{\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2} H .$$

This operation extends uniquely to a Leibniz bracket $[\ , \]_H$ on $\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_X$ which, together with the symmetric pairing induced by the duality pairing, is a structure of an exact Courant algebroid. Let $\mathcal{Q}_H$ denote this structure.

The obvious connection on $\mathcal{Q}_H$ has curvature H . □

2.4.10. *Classification of Exact Courant algebroids.* Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. The assignment $\nabla \mapsto c(\nabla)$ gives rise to the morphism

$$c : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl} .$$

The formula $c(\nabla + \alpha) = c(\nabla) + d\alpha$ means that c is a morphism of sheaves with an action of Ω_X^2 , where $\alpha \in \Omega_X^2$ acts on $H \in \Omega_X^{3,cl}$ by $H \mapsto H + d\alpha$. Thus, the pair $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}), c)$ is a torsor under $(\Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

2.4.11. *Lemma.* The correspondence $\mathcal{Q} \mapsto (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}), c)$ establishes an equivalence of stacks in $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces in categories

$$\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow (\Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl}) - tors .$$

In particular, the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of isomorphism classes of exact Courant algebroids is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

2.4.12. *Locally trivial exact Courant algebroids.* An exact Courant algebroid is said to be *locally trivial* if it admits a flat connection locally on X .

Let $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{loc.triv.}$ denote the stack of locally trivial exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids.

2.4.13. For an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid let $\mathcal{C}^{fl}(\mathcal{Q})$ denote the sheaf of flat connections on $\mathcal{Q}$.

The sheaf $\mathcal{C}^{fl}(\mathcal{Q})$ is locally nonempty if and only if $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally trivial, in which case it is a torsor under $\Omega_X^{2,cl}$. The correspondence $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{fl}(\mathcal{Q})$ establishes an equivalence of stacks $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{loc.triv.} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2,cl} - tors$.

3. COURANT EXTENSIONS OF LIE ALGEBROIDS

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.

3.1. Courant extensions.

3.1.1. *Definition.* A Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$ is a Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ together with the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$ of Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids.

3.1.2. *Morphisms of Courant extensions.* A morphism of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids which is compatible with the identifications.

Let $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the stack of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$.

3.1.3. For a Lie (respectively Courant) $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ let $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the kernel of the anchor map. Then, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie (respectively Courant) $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid with the trivial anchor natural in $\mathcal{A}$.

If $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ is a Courant extension of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, there is a morphism $\mathfrak{g}(\cdot) : \mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}))$.

3.2. **Courant extensions of transitive Lie algebroids.** From now on we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a *transitive* Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ (equivalently $\mathcal{A}$) is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite rank.

For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules let $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ denote the dual $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

3.2.1. Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2.1)$$

is canonically associated to the Courant extension $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Since a morphism of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a morphism of the associated extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ by Ω_X^1 , it is an isomorphism of the underlying sheaves, and it is clear that the inverse isomorphism is a morphism of Courant Ω -extensions of $\mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})$ is a stack in groupoids.

3.2.2. *Remark.* $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ is none other than $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

3.2.3. Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ for short.

Since $\langle \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \Omega_X^1 \rangle = 0$, the pairing on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ induces the pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

and the pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

These yield, respectively, the maps $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee$ and $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$. Together with the projection $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and the map $\mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ adjoint to the inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ they fit into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^\vee \end{array} \quad (3.2.2)$$

3.2.4. *Lemma.* The diagram (3.2.2) is Cartesian.

3.2.5. *Corollary.* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^\vee \times_{\mathfrak{g}^\vee} \mathfrak{g}$.

3.3. Central extensions of Lie algebras. We maintain the notations introduced above, i.e. $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid and $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ so that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 .$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra in $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is locally free of finite rank.

Suppose in addition that $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

which is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\pi(a)(\langle b, c \rangle) = \langle [a, b], c \rangle + \langle b, [a, c] \rangle$$

holds.

3.3.1. From Lie to Leibniz. The map $i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$ give rise to the maps

$$\mathcal{A}^\vee \xrightarrow{i^\vee} \mathfrak{g}^\vee \xleftarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathfrak{g} .$$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{A}^\vee \times_{\mathfrak{g}^\vee} \mathfrak{g}$ and let $\text{pr} : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ denote the canonical projection. A section of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a pair $(a^\vee, b)$, where $a^\vee \in \mathcal{A}^\vee$ and $b \in \mathfrak{g}$, which satisfies $i^\vee(a^\vee)(c) = \langle b, c \rangle$ for $c \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ (by the restriction of the adjoint action) by $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear endomorphisms and the map $i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a map of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}^\vee$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ are $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in a natural way and the map $i^\vee$ is a morphism of such. Hence, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module in a natural way and the map pr is a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

As a consequence, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acquires the canonical structure of a Leibniz algebra with the Leibniz bracket $[\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}]$ of two sections $\widehat{a}, \widehat{b} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by the formula $[\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}] = \text{pr}(\widehat{a})(\widehat{b})$.

We define a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

as the composition of $\text{pr} \otimes \text{pr}$ with the pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$.

The inclusion $\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\pi^\vee} \mathcal{A}^\vee$ gives rise to the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3.3.2. Lemma. The Leibniz bracket, the symmetric pairing and the derivation defined above endow $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the structure of a Courant Ω_X^1 -extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ (in particular, a Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid with the trivial anchor map).

3.3.3. Lemma. The isomorphism of Corollary 3.2.5 is an isomorphism of Courant extensions of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$.

3.3.4. Suppose that ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{A}$. ∇ determines

- (1) the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{T}_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}$ by $a + \xi \mapsto i(a) + \nabla(\xi)$, where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X$;
- (2) the isomorphism $\phi_\nabla : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ by $(a^\vee, b) \mapsto \nabla^\vee(a^\vee) + b$, where $a^\vee \in \mathcal{A}^\vee$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $i^\vee(a^\vee) = \langle b, \bullet \rangle$, $\nabla^\vee : \mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ is the transpose of ∇ and $i^\vee : \mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow {}^\vee g$ is the transpose of i .

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\nabla}$ denote the Leibniz bracket on $\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ induced by ϕ_{∇} . A simple calculation (which is left to the reader) shows that it is the extension of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the Ω_X^1 -valued (Leibniz) cocycle $c_{\nabla}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ determined by $\iota_{\xi} c(a, b) = \langle [a, \nabla(\xi)], b \rangle$ (where $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X$, $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, and the bracket is computed in $\mathcal{A}$). In other words, $c_{\nabla}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the composition

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \text{id}} \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \Omega_X^1,$$

where $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the connection on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by ∇ .

Suppose that $A \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$. The automorphism of $\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ given by $(\alpha, a) \mapsto (\alpha + \langle A, a \rangle, a)$ is the isomorphism of Courant algebroids $(\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\nabla}) \rightarrow (\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\nabla+A})$ which corresponds to the identity map on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ under the identifications ϕ_{∇} and $\phi_{\nabla+A}$.

3.4. The action of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. As before, $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear symmetric $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Courant extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ constructed in 3.3.1.

3.4.1. Let $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ denote the stack of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ which induce the given pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is a stack in groupoids.

Note that, if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is in $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$, then $\mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3.4.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ algebroid and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ denote the push-out of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{Q}$ by the addition map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{+} \Omega_X^1$. Thus, a section of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ is represented by a pair (a, q) with $a \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying $\pi(a) = \pi(q) \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Two pairs as above are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form $(\alpha, -\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

For $a_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, $q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\pi(a_i) = \pi(q_i)$ let

$$[(a_1, q_1), (a_2, q_2)] = ([a_1, a_2], [q_1, q_2]), \quad \langle (a_1, q_1), (a_2, q_2) \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle + \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \quad (3.4.1)$$

These operations are easily seen to descend to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$. Note that the compositions

$$\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$$

and

$$\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$$

coincide; we denote their common value by

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}. \quad (3.4.2)$$

3.4.3. *Lemma.* The formulas (3.4.1) and the map (3.4.2) determine a structure of Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, the map $\mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ defined by $a \mapsto (a, 0)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ of Courant extensions of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ (by Ω_X^1).

3.4.4. *Lemma.* Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}$ are in $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Then, there exists a unique $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, such that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the quotient of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}$ by the diagonally embedded copy of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, $\mathcal{Q}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{T}$ by Ω_X^1 . There is a unique structure of an exact Courant algebroid on $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{Q}$. $\square$

3.5. **Courant extensions of flat connections.** Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid, locally free over $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant symmetric pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$.

3.5.1. Suppose that ∇ is a *flat* connection on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant algebroid. For $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $q, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ let

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad \langle a, q \rangle_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = 0, \quad (3.5.1)$$

and

$$[a, b]_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = [a, b]_{\nabla}, \quad [q_1, q_2]_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = [q_1, q_2]_{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad [q, a]_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}(\pi(q))(a) \quad (3.5.2)$$

taking values in $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\nabla}$ is the Leibniz bracket on $\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ as in 3.3.4, $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the connection on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by ∇ and π is the anchor of $\mathcal{Q}$.

3.5.2. *Lemma.* The formulas (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) define a structure of a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$.

3.5.3. *Corollary.* Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a flat connection locally on X . Then, $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is locally non-empty, hence a torsor under $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

3.5.4. *Notation.* We denote $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ together with the Courant algebroid structure given by (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}}$.

3.5.5. Conversely, suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$, and ∇ is a *flat* connection on $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the pre-image of $\nabla(\mathcal{T}_X)$ under the projection $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

3.5.6. *Lemma.*

- (1) The (restrictions of the) Leibniz bracket and the symmetric pairing on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ endow $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}$ with a structure of an exact Courant algebroid.
- (2) $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\nabla} = 0$.
- (3) The projection $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.
- (4) $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}^{\perp} + \Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}$.
- (5) The induced isomorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Omega_X^1$ coincides with the one constructed in 3.3.4.

3.5.7. *Lemma.* The isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}$ induced by ∇ is an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}_{\nabla, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}}$ (of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$).

3.5.8. *Change of connection.* Suppose that ∇ is a flat connection on $\mathcal{A}$ and $A \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation $\nabla A + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] = 0$, so that the connection $\nabla + A$ is also flat. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant algebroid. To simplify notations, let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}}$.

By Lemma 3.5.7, we have the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla+A, \mathcal{Q}_{\nabla+A, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}}}$. Recall that a closed 3-form $H \in \Omega_X^{3, cl}$ defines an exact Courant algebroid $\mathcal{Q}_H$ equipped with a connection, whose curvature is equal to H .

Since $A \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation, the 3-form $\langle A, [A, A] \rangle$ is closed.

3.5.9. *Lemma.* In the notations introduced above, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla+A, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \cong \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}_{\langle A, [A, A] \rangle}$.

Proof. We identify $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{T}_X$ using the flat connection ∇ . In terms of this identification, the image of $\mathcal{T}$ under the connection $\nabla + A$ consists of pairs $(A(\xi), \xi)$, where $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla+A, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{Q} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of pairs $(A(\xi), q)$, where $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X$ and $\pi(q) = \xi$.

For $i = 1, 2$, $\xi_i \in \mathcal{T}_X$, $q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying $\pi(q_i) = \xi_i$ we calculate the Leibniz bracket in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ using (3.5.1) and (3.5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} [(A(\xi_1), q_1), (A(\xi_2), q_2)]_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} = \\ (A([\xi_1, \xi_2]), \langle [A(\xi_1), \nabla(\bullet)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, A(\xi_2) \rangle + [q_1, q_2]_{\mathcal{Q}}) = \\ (A([\xi_1, \xi_2]), \iota_{\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2} \langle [A, A], A \rangle + [q_1, q_2]_{\mathcal{Q}}) \end{aligned}$$

The latter formula shows that the assignment $(A(\pi(q)), q) \mapsto (q, \pi(q))$ viewed as a morphism of extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by Ω_X^1

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla+A, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} + (\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_X)$$

is, in fact a morphism of exact Courant algebroids

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\nabla+A, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}_{\langle A, [A, A] \rangle}.$$

□

3.5.10. *Proposition.* For $\mathcal{A}$ as above, ∇ a flat connection on $\mathcal{A}$ the assignment $\mathcal{Q} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}}$ gives rise to a morphism $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{CEX}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors, i.e. a trivialization of $\mathcal{CEX}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.

Proof. This amounts to showing that, for $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{Q}_i \in \mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}_1} + \mathcal{Q}_2$ which possesses associativity properties. This follows from (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) and the definition of the $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -action. We leave details to the reader. □

3.5.11. *Corollary.* There is an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla+A, \mathcal{Q}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nabla, \mathcal{Q}} + \mathcal{Q}_{\langle A, [A, A] \rangle}$.

3.6. The Pontryagin class. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie algebroid, locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant symmetric pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$. We assume from now on that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a flat connection locally on X .

3.6.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering of X by open subsets such that $\mathcal{A}|_{U_i}$ admits a flat connection. Let ∇_i denote a flat connection on $\mathcal{A}|_{U_i}$. Let $A_{ij} = \nabla_j - \nabla_i$, $A_{ij} \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}(U_i \cap U_j)$.

Let $H_{ij} = \langle A_{ij}, [A_{ij}, A_{ij}] \rangle$, $H_{ij} \in \Omega_X^{3,cl}(U_i \cap U_j)$; let $H \in \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ denote the corresponding cochain.

Let $B_{ijk} = -\langle A_{ij} \wedge A_{jk} \rangle - \langle A_{jk} \wedge A_{ki} \rangle + \langle A_{ki} \wedge A_{ij} \rangle$, $B_{ijk} \in \Omega_X^2(U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k)$; let $B \in \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^2)$ denote the corresponding cochain.

3.6.2. *Lemma.*

- (1) $\check{\partial}B = dH = 0$, $\check{\partial}H = dB$, i.e. $H + B$ is a 2-cocycle in $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.
- (2) The class of $H + B$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ does not depend on the choices of the open cover and of the locally defined flat connections.

3.6.3. *Notation.* We denote the class of $H + B$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ by $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

3.7. The Pontryagin class via obstruction theory.

3.7.1. We begin by a brief outline of the cohomological classification of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors.

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a torsor under $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a covering of X by open subsets such that $\mathcal{S}(U_i)$ is non-empty for all $i \in I$. In this case there are isomorphisms $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{S}|_{U_i}$ of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}|_{U_i}$ -torsors. A choice of such gives rise to the objects $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} \in \mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(U_i \cap U_j)$, isomorphisms $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = -\mathcal{Q}_{ji}$ and flat connections ∇_{ijk}^0 on $\mathcal{Q}_{ijk} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk} + \mathcal{Q}_{ki}$.

We may assume (by passing to a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$), that the exact Courant algebroids $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ admit connections. Let ∇_{ij} denote a connection on $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ whose curvature we denote by $H_{ij} \in \Omega_X^{3,cl}(U_i \cap U_j)$. Let $H \in \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ denote the corresponding cochain.

The connections ∇_{ij} give rise to connections on the exact Courant algebroids $\mathcal{Q}_{ijk}$. Hence there are 2-forms $B_{ijk} \in \Omega_X^2(U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k)$ such that $\nabla_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^0 + B_{ijk}$. Let $B \in \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^2)$ denote the corresponding cochain.

It is clear that $dH = \check{\partial}B = 0$ and $\check{\partial}H = dB$, i.e. $H + B$ is a 2-cocycle in $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$. One checks easily that the class of $H + B$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ is independent of the choices of the covering $\mathcal{U}$ and the connections ∇_{ij} . Moreover, the above construction establishes a bijection (in fact an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces) between the isomorphism classes of torsors under $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

3.7.2. *Theorem.* Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid, locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$ which admits a flat connection locally on X , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant symmetric pairing on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$. The isomorphism class of the $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsor $\mathcal{CEX}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ corresponds to $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. In particular, Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ which induce $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ exist globally on X if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a covering of X by open subsets such that $\mathcal{A}|_{U_i}$ admits a flat connection. Let ∇_i be a flat connection on $\mathcal{A}|_{U_i}$. By Proposition 3.5.10, these give rise to trivializations of $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}|_{U_i}$. The procedure outlined in 3.7.1 applied to these yields the definition of $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ given in 3.6. $\square$

3.8. The Pontryagin class Atiyah style. Throughout this section $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Courant extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in 3.3.1.

3.8.1. The Atiyah class. The (isomorphism class of the) extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.8.1)$$

is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \mathfrak{g})$, whose image under the canonical isomorphism $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g})$ is called *the Atiyah class of $\mathcal{A}$* and will be denoted $\alpha(\mathcal{A})$.

Recall that a *connection on $\mathcal{A}$* is a splitting of the extension (3.8.1). Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the sheaf of locally defined connections on $\mathcal{A}$. As the difference of two connections is a map $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is a torsor under $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$. The Atiyah class $\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ is the isomorphism class of the $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$ -torsor $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

The cup product together with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ give rise to the map

$$H^1(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^1(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1).$$

We will denote the image of $a \otimes b$ under this map by $\langle a \smile b \rangle$.

3.8.2. Lemma. $\langle \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \smile \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$ is the image of $\pi(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ under the map $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1)$.

3.9. Obstruction theoretic interpretation. Recall that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

Spliced with (3.8.1) it gives rise to the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.9.1)$$

whose isomorphism class is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$. Let $\beta = \beta(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denote its image under the canonical isomorphism $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1)$.

The extension (3.9.1) gives rise to the stack in groupoids $\mathcal{L}$ defined as follows. For an open set $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is the category of pairs $(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}, \phi)$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is a $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -torsor on U and ϕ is a morphism of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}$ -torsors $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \times_{\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})|_U$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is the category of pairs $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \psi)$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and ψ is a morphism of the push-out of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ by the map $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ (of extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by $\mathfrak{g}$).

As is well-known, the stack $\mathcal{L}$ is a gerbe with lien $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$, whose isomorphism class in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1)$ is, on the one hand, β , and, on the other hand, the image of $\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ under the boundary map $H^1(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1)$ induced by the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

3.9.1. *Lemma.* $\beta = \langle \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \smile \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$.

3.9.2. *Remark.* Note that there is a natural morphism of stacks $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ (which maps a Courant extension to the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module).

4. VERTEX ALGEBROIDS

4.1. **Vertex algebroids.** A *vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid* is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ with a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V} \\ f \otimes v &\mapsto f * v \end{aligned}$$

such that $1 * v = v$ (i.e. a “non-associative unital $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module”) equipped with

- (1) a structure of a Leibniz $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- (2) a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map of Leibniz algebras $\pi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ (the *anchor*)
- (3) a symmetric $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$
- (4) a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that $\pi \circ \partial = 0$

which satisfy

$$f * (g * v) - (fg) * v = -\pi(v)(f) * \partial(g) - \pi(v)(g) * \partial(f) \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$[v_1, f * v_2] = \pi(v_1)(f) * v_2 + f * [v_1, v_2] \quad (4.1.2)$$

$$[v_1, v_2] + [v_2, v_1] = \partial(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) \quad (4.1.3)$$

$$\pi(f * v) = f\pi(v) \quad (4.1.4)$$

$$\langle f * v_1, v_2 \rangle = f\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - \pi(v_1)(\pi(v_2)(f)) \quad (4.1.5)$$

$$\pi(v)(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) = \langle [v, v_1], v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, [v, v_2] \rangle \quad (4.1.6)$$

$$\partial(fg) = f * \partial(g) + g * \partial(f) \quad (4.1.7)$$

$$[v, \partial(f)] = \partial(\pi(v)(f)) \quad (4.1.8)$$

$$\langle v, \partial(f) \rangle = \pi(v)(f) \quad (4.1.9)$$

for $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

4.1.1. A morphism of vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map of sheaves which preserves all of the structures.

4.1.2. *Remark.* The notions “a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ algebroid with the trivial anchor map” and “a Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ algebroid with the trivial anchor map” are equivalent.

4.2. **The associated Lie algebroid.** Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{V}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{O}_X * \partial(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{V}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{V}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{V} / \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see (cf. [B]) that the action of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on $\mathcal{V}$ descends to a structure of an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ and the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ descends to a Lie bracket on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Moreover, there is an evident map $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$.

4.2.1. *Lemma.* The $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ with the bracket and the anchor as above is a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.

4.3. **Transitive vertex algebroids.** A vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is called *transitive* if the anchor map is surjective.

4.4. **Exact vertex algebroids.** A vertex algebroid $\mathcal{V}$ is called *exact* if the map $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is an isomorphism. We denote the stack of exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids by $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

A morphism of exact vertex algebroids induces a morphism of underlying extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by Ω_X^1 . The latter is an isomorphism and it is clear that the inverse morphism of extensions is a morphism of vertex algebroids. Hence, $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is a stack in groupoids.

4.4.1. It was shown in [B] that $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is locally non-empty and has a canonical structure of a torsor under $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. Isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors form a vector space naturally isomorphic to $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$. The purpose of this section is the determination of the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. The following theorem was originally proven in [GMS] by explicit calculations with representing cocycles. Our proof, “coordinate-free” and based on Theorem 3.7.2 and the strategy proposed in [BD], appears in 4.9.6.

4.4.2. *Theorem ([GMS]).* The class of $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ is equal to $2 \text{ch}_2(\Omega_X^1)$.

4.4.3. *Remark.* Suppose that P is a GL_n -torsor on X . Let $\mathcal{A}_P$ denote the Atiyah algebra of P . Then, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_P) = \mathfrak{gl}_n^P$ and the symmetric pairing on the latter given by the trace of the product of matrices is $\mathcal{A}_P$ -invariant. The corresponding Pontriagin class is equal to $2 \text{ch}_2(P)$.

4.5. **Vertex extensions of Lie algebroids.** Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.

4.5.1. *Definition.* A vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}$ is a vertex algebroid $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ together with an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$ of Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids.

4.5.2. *Morphisms of vertex extensions.* A morphism of vertex extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism of vertex algebroids which is compatible with the identifications.

Let $\mathcal{VExt}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the stack of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$.

4.6. **Vertex extensions of transitive Lie algebroids.** From now on we suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{A})$

4.6.1. Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ induces an isomorphism $\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}$. The resulting exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$$

is canonically associated to the vertex extension $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Since a morphism of vertex extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a morphism of associated extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ by Ω_X^1 it is an isomorphism of the underlying sheaves. It is clear that the inverse isomorphism is a morphism of vertex extensions of $\mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})$ is a stack in groupoids.

4.6.2. *Remark.* $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ is none other than $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

4.6.3. Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the kernel of the anchor map (of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$). Thus, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a vertex (equivalently, Courant) extension of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Analysis similar to that of 3.2 shows that

- the symmetric pairing on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ induces a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear pairing on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant;
- the vertex extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is obtained from the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ and the symmetric $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$ as in 3.3.

4.7. **The action of $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.** As before, $\mathcal{A}$ is a transitive Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid locally free of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear symmetric $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Courant extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ constructed in 3.3.1.

4.7.1. Let $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ denote the stack of Courant extensions of $\mathcal{A}$ which induce the given pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is a stack in groupoids.

Note that, if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is in $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$, then $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

4.7.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ algebroid and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ denote the push-out of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{Q}$ by the addition map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{+} \Omega_X^1$. Thus, a section of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ is represented by a pair (a, q) with $a \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying $\pi(a) = \pi(q) \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Two pairs as above are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form $(i(\alpha), -i(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

For $a \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\pi(a) = \pi(q)$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ let

$$f * (a, q) = (f * a, fq), \quad \partial(f) = \partial_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}(f) + \partial_{\mathcal{Q}}(f). \quad (4.7.1)$$

For $a_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, $q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\pi(a_i) = \pi(q_i)$ let

$$[(a_1, q_1), (a_2, q_2)] = ([a_1, a_2], [q_1, q_2]), \quad \langle (a_1, q_1), (a_2, q_2) \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle + \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \quad (4.7.2)$$

These operations are easily seen to descend to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$.

The two maps $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ given by $\alpha \mapsto (i(\alpha), 0)$ and $\alpha \mapsto (0, i(\alpha))$ coincide; we denote their common value by

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q} . \quad (4.7.3)$$

4.7.3. *Lemma.* The formulas (4.7.1), (4.7.2) and the map (4.7.3) determine a structure of vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, the map the map $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}$ defined by $a \mapsto (a, 0)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$ of vertex (equivalently, Courant) extensions of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ (by Ω_X^1).

4.7.4. *Lemma.* Suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}$ are in $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle, \rangle}$. Then, there exists a unique $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, such that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the quotient of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}$ by the diagonally embedded copy of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, $\mathcal{Q}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{T}$ by Ω_X^1 . There is a unique structure of an exact Courant algebroid on $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{Q}$. $\square$

4.8. **Comparison of $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors.** Suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a vertex extension of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\langle, \rangle$ denote the induced symmetric pairing on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$.

4.8.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is an exact vertex algebroid. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$ denote the pushout of $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{V}$ by the difference map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$. Thus, a section of $\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$ is represented by a pair (a, v) with $a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}, v \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfying $\pi(a) = \pi(v) \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Two pairs as above are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form (α, α) for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

For $a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}, v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\pi(a) = \pi(v), f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ let

$$f * (a, v) = (f * a, f * v), \quad \partial(f) = \partial_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}(f) - \partial_{\mathcal{V}}(f) . \quad (4.8.1)$$

For $a_i \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}, v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\pi(a_i) = \pi(v_i)$ let

$$[(a_1, v_1), (a_2, v_2)] = ([a_1, a_2], [v_1, v_2]), \quad \langle (a_1, v_1), (a_2, v_2) \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \quad (4.8.2)$$

These operations are easily seen to descend to $\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$.

The two maps $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$ given by $\alpha \mapsto (i(\alpha), 0)$ and $\alpha \mapsto (0, -i(\alpha))$ coincide; we denote their common value by

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V} . \quad (4.8.3)$$

4.8.2. *Lemma.* The formulas (4.8.1), (4.8.2) together with (4.8.3) determine a structure of a Courant extension of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, the map $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$ defined by $a \mapsto (a, 0)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$ of Courant extensions of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ (by Ω_X^1).

4.8.3. The assignment $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{V}$ extends to a functor

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} - (\bullet) : \mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \quad (4.8.4)$$

which, clearly, anti-commutes with the respective actions of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ on $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.

4.8.4. *Corollary.* The functor (4.8.4) is an equivalence of stacks in groupoids. The isomorphism class of the $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ -torsors $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ are opposite as elements of $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

4.8.5. *Remark.* In fact, the above construction gives rise to the functor

$$\mathcal{VEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}}(\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle})$$

which is an equivalence.

4.9. **The canonical vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.** We will show how the construction of [B] leads to the canonical vertex extension $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\Omega_X^1}^{can}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}$, the Atiyah algebra of the cotangent sheaf. As a consequence, we obtain the canonical equivalence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\Omega_X^1}^{can} - (\bullet) : \mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{CEXT}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}),$$

which anti-commutes with the action of $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

4.9.1. *The canonical exact vertex $\Omega_X^\bullet$ -algebroid.* All of the considerations regarding the Lie, Courant and vertex algebroids apply in the differential graded setting. Let $X^\sharp$ denote the dg-manifold with $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ the de Rham complex of X . In [B] we showed that there exists a unique exact vertex (differential graded) $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -algebroid which we will denote by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\sharp}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp} \rightarrow 0$$

(of complexes of sheaves on X) of which we will be interested in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\sharp}^{1(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)} \rightarrow 0$$

of the degree zero constituents. Note that there is a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{X^\sharp}^{1(0)} \cong \Omega_X^1$.

The natural action of $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp} = \Omega_X^\bullet$ restricts to the action of $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{O}_X$ and Ω_X^1 . The action of $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{O}_X$ gives rise to the map $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ which, together with the natural Lie bracket on $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)}$, endows the latter with a structure of a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.

The action of $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)}$ on Ω_X^1 gives rise to the map

$$\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}, \quad (4.9.1)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}$ denotes the Atiyah algebra of Ω_X^1 .

4.9.2. *Lemma.* The map (4.9.1) is an isomorphism of Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids.

4.9.3. It follows that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

4.9.4. *Lemma.* The vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid structure on $\mathcal{U}$ restricts to a structure of a vertex extension of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}$ on $\mathcal{U}^{(0)}$. The induced symmetric pairing on $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1)$ is given by *the negative* of the trace of the product of endomorphisms.

Proof. The first statement is left to the reader.

According to 5.3 of [B], the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -vertex algebroid $\mathcal{U}^{(0)}$ is a quotient of the ($\mathcal{O}_X$ -vertex algebroid) $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(0)}$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(0)} = \Omega_X^1 \bigoplus \left(\Omega_X^1[1] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}_X[-1] \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{T}_X \right)$$

Moreover, under the quotient map, $\Omega_X^1 \bigoplus \Omega_X^1[1] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}_X[-1]$ (respectively, $\Omega_X^1[-1] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}_X[1]$) surjects onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{U}^{(0)})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}) = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1) \cong \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X$). The symmetric pairing on the latter is induced by the one on the former given by the formula

$$\langle \beta_1 \otimes \xi_1, \beta_2 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle = -(\iota_{\xi_1} \beta_2)(\iota_{\xi_2} \beta_1), \quad (4.9.2)$$

where $\beta_i \in \Omega_X^1[-1]$ and $\xi_i \in \mathcal{T}_X[1]$.

(The formula for the symmetric pairing on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ in 5.3 of [B] reads

$$\langle \beta_1 \otimes \xi_1, \beta_2 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle = -\beta_1 \tau(\xi_2)(\tau(\xi_1)(\beta_2)) - \beta_2 \tau(\xi_1)(\tau(\xi_2)(\beta_1)) - \tau(\xi_1)(\beta_2) \tau(\xi_2)(\beta_1),$$

where $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$, $\xi_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X = \mathcal{T}_X[1] \bigoplus \mathcal{T}_X$ and τ is the canonical action of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ on $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ by derivations with $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X[1]$ acting by contraction ι_ξ . If $\beta_i \in \Omega_X^1$, then the first two summands in the formula are equal to zero for degree reasons.)

Under the canonical isomorphism $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1) \cong \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X$ the symmetric pairing given by (4.9.2) corresponds to the one given by *the negative* of the trace of the product of endomorphisms. $\square$

4.9.5. *Corollary.* Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the symmetric pairing on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}) = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1)$ given by *the negative* of the trace of the product of endomorphisms. Then, the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{CEX}\tau_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1})_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is equal to $-2 \text{ch}_2(\Omega_X^1)$ in $H^2(X; \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

Proof. The Pontryagin class $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_X^1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is equal to $-2 \text{ch}_2(\Omega_X^1)$. The claim follows from Theorem 3.7.2. $\square$

4.9.6. *Proof of 4.4.2.* Follows from 4.8.4 and 4.9.5.

REFERENCES

- [B] P. Bressler, Vertex algebroids I, preprint.
- [BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, Chiral algebras, preprint.
- [GMS] V. Gorbunov, F. Malikov, V. Schechtman, Gerbes of chiral differential operators II, preprint.
- [S] P. Ševera, letters to A. Weinstein.

E-mail address: bressler@math.arizona.edu